A

SUMMARIEVIEW

THE GOVERNMENT

OF THE OLD AND NEW

TESTAMENT:

The Episcopall Government of Christs

Church is vindicated:

Out
Of the rude Draughts of LANCELOT
ANDREWES, late Bishop of
Winchester.

Whereunto is prefixed (as a Preamble to the whole) a Discovery of the Causes of the continuance of these Contentions touching Church-governments out of the fragments of

OXFORD,

Printed by LEON. LICHFIELD, Anno Dom. 1641.

1356:02

reflectly Luon in the present of the Day



The causes of the continuance of these Contentions concerning Church-Government.

Ontention ariseth, either through errour in mens judgements, or else disorder in their affections.

When contention doth grow by errour in judgement, it ceaseth not till men by instruction come to see wherein they erre, and what it is that did deceive them. Without this, there is neither policy nor punishment that can establish peace in the Church.

The Moscovian Emperour, being weary of the infinite strifes and contentions amongst Preachers, and by their occasion amongst others, forbad preaching utterly throughout all his Dominions; and in stead thereof commanded certain Sermons of the Greeke and Latine Fathers to bee translated, and them to be read in publique assemblies, without adding a word

,

I.

Prov. 32.15.

of their owne thereunto upon paine of death. Hee thought by this politique devile to bring them to agreement, or at least to cover their disagreement. But so bad a policy was no fit salve for so great a soare.

We may think perhaps, that punishment would have beene more effectuall to that purpose. For neither did solomon speak without book in faying, that when folly is bound up in the heart of a child, the rod of correction must drive it out; and experience doth shew that when errour hath once disquieted the minds of men and made them reftleffe, if they doe not feare they will terrify. Neither hath it repented the Church at any time to have used the rod in moderate severity for the speedier reclaiming of men from error, and the reuniting fuch as by schisme have fundred themselves. But we find by triall, that as being taught and not terrified, they shut their cares against the word of truth and footh themselves in that wherewith custome or finister persuasion hath inured them: fo contrariwife, if they be terrifyed and not taught, their punishment doth not commonly worke their amendment.

As Moses therefore, so likewise Aaron; as Zerubabel, so Iehoshua; as the Prince which hath laboured by the Scepter of righteousnesse and sword of justice to end strife, so the Prophets which with the booke and doctrine of salvation have soundly and misely endeavoured to instruct the ignorant in those litigious points wherewith the Church is now troubled; whether by preaching, as Apollogs among the Iewes;

or

3

or by disputing, as Paul at Athens; or by Writing, as the learned in their severall times and ages heretofore; or by conferring in Synods and Councells, as Peter, Iames, and others at Ierusalem; or by any the like allowable and laudable meanes; their praise is 2.Cor.8.18. worthily in the Gospell, and their portion in that promise which God hath made by his Prophets, They Dan.12.3. that turne many unto righteousnesse shall shine as the starres for ever and ever. I say, who soever have soundly and wisely endeavoured by those meanes to reclaime the ignorant from their errour, and to make peace.

Want of found proceeding in Church controverfies hath made many more stiffe in errour now then

before.

Want of wife and discreet dealing, hath much hindred the peace of the Church. It may bee thought, and is, that Arim had never raifed those tempestuous stormes which we read he did; if Alexander, the first that withstood the Arrians herefy, had born himselfe with greater moderation, and been leffe eager in fo good a cause. Sulpitius Severus doth note as much in the dealings of Idacius against the favourers of Priscillian, when that herely was but green and new fprung up. For by overmuch vehemency again (t lattantius and his mates, a sparke was made a fiame : infomuch that thereby the feditious waxed rather more fierce then leffe troublesome. In matters of lo great moment, whereupon the peace or disturbance of the Church is knowne to depend, if A 3 there:

there were in us that reverend care which should be: it is not possible wee should either speak at any time without feare, or ever write but with a trembling hand. Doe they confider whereabout they goe, or what it is they have in hand; who taking upon them the causes of God, deale only or chiefly against the

perfons of men?

We cannot altogether excuse our selves in this respect, whose home controversies and debates at this day, although I trust they be as the strite of Paul with Barnabas and not with Elymas; yet because there is a truth, which on the one fide being unknown hath caused contention, I doe wish it had pleased Almighty God, that in fifting it out, those offences had not grown, which I had rather bewaile with fecret

teares then publick speech.

Neverthelesse as some fort of people is reported to have bred a detestation of drunkennesse in their children by presenting the deformity thereof in servants: fo it may come to paffe (I wish it might) that we beholding more foule deformity in the face and countenance of a common adversary, shall be induced to correct some smaller blemishes in our owne. Yee are not ignorant of the Demaunds, Motives, Cen. fures, Apologies, Defences, and other writings which our great enemies have published under colour of feeking peace; promiting to bring nothing but reason and evident remonstrance of truth. But who feeth not how full gorged they are with virulent, A inde. rous and immodest speeches, tending much to the difgrace,

difgrace, to the disproofe nothing of that cause which they endeavour to overthrow! Will you feake Iob.13 7. wickedly for Gods defence? faith Iob. Will you dipp your tongues in gall and your pennes in blood, when yee write and speak in his cause? Is the truth confirmed are men convicted of their errour when they are upbrayded with the miseries of their condition and estate? When their understanding, wit and know. ledge is depressed? When suspitions and rumours. without respect how true or how falle, are objected to diminish their credit and estimation in the world? Is it likely that Invectives, Epigrammes, Dialogues, Epistles, Libells, loden with contumelies and criminations, should bee the meanes to procure peace? Sarely they which doetake this course, the way of Rom 3.17. peace they have not knowne. If they did but once enter into a stayed confideration with themselves what they doe; no doubt they would give over and refolve with lob. Behold I am vile, what fall I answer? I will lob. 40.4,5, lay my hand upon my mouth. If I have spoken once amife, I will feak no more; or it twice , I will proceed no further.

But how fober and how found foever our proceedings be in these causes; all is invaine which wee doe to abate the errours of men, except their unruly affections be bridled. Selfe-love, vaine-glory, impatience, pride, pertinacy, these are the bane of our peace. And these are not conquered or cast out, but by prayer. Pray for terusalem; and your prayer shall cause

II.

cause the hills to bring forth peace : peace shall difill and come downe like the raine upon the mowen graffe, and as the showers that water the earth. We have used all other meanes, and behold wee are frustrate, wee have laboured in vaine. In disputations; whether it be because men are ashamed to acknowledge their errours before many witnesses, or because extemporality doth exclude mature and ripe advise without which the truth cannot foundly and throughly bee demonstrated, or because the fervor of contention doth fo disturbe mens understanding, that they cannot fincerely and effectually judge: in Books and Sermons; whether it be because we doe speak and write with too little advile, or because you doe heare and read with too much prejudice : in all humane means weh have hitherto been used to procure peace; whether it be because our dealings have been too feeble, or the minds of men with whom we have dealt too too implacable, or what soever the cause or caufes have been: for as much as wee fee that as yet wee faile in our defires, yeathe wayes which we take to be most likely to make peace, doe but move strife; O that we would now hold our tongues, leave contending with men, and have our talke and treaty of peace with God. We have spoken and written enough of peace: there is no way left but this one; Pray for the

Pfal, 122,6, peace of Ierufalem.



THE FOR ME OF GOVERNMENT IN THE OLD TESTAMENT: And first, under

MOSES.



HE Common-wealth of I s R A E L was confidered, either as Personall, containing all the whole people, not a man left:

or Represen- Tribes,

tative; in the Cities; whose daughters the Townes adjacent are called.

I. The Estate had ever one Governour,

- I. Mofes.
- 2. 10 sua.
- 3. Indges.
- 4. Kings.
- 5. Tirshathaes, [or, Vice-royes. Ezra.2.
 63.] with whom were joyned the LXX, Elders.

R

II. The

The Tribes had every one their Prince, KTUS 11. Phylarcha. (Num. 2.) with whom were joyned the chiefe of the families, חובא זשאק Patriarcha.(Num.1.4.)

III. The Cities had each likewise their Ruler. (Iud. 9. 30.1 . King. 22. 26. 2. King. 23. 8.) with whom were joyned the Elders or Ancients.

(Ruth. 4.2. Ezr. 10.14.)

These tast, not before they came into Canaan.

Tand were fetled in their Cities. 7

It appeareth, that Mofes fometime confulted only with הובא זשא (the heads of the Tribes ;) and then one Trumpet only founded: (Num. 10.4.) in fome other causes with the any (the Congregation:) and then both Trumpets called. (Num. 10.3.)

The highest BENCH Or Indgement, for causes of greatest difficultie, was that of the LXX. who at the first, were the Fathers of each familie that came down to Egypt. (Gen. 46.) which number did after that remaine (Exod. 24.1,9.) and was at last by God himselfe to appointed. (Num.11.16.) See 2. Chron. 19.8.

The inferiour BENCHES, for matters of leffe im-

portance, were erected by Iethroes advice

Thou fands, of Rulers Hundreds, (Exod. 18. SFifties, of (21,26. Tithings.

and after established by Gods approbation.

(Deut. 16.18.)

In every City (as * Iofephus faith) were feven Ind- *Antiquis ges; and for each Indge, two Lewites: which made to-gether the Bench of each City.

The forme of the Ecclesiastical government under Moses.

The Priesthood was settled in the Tribe of Levi by

Levi had three ions: Cohath, Gershon, and Merari.
Of these, the line of Cohath was preferred before the rest.

From him descended four Families: Amram, Izhar, Hebron, and VZZiel.

Of these the stock of Amram was made chiefe.

He had two fons. Aaren and Mofes.

Aaron was by God appointed High Priest.

So that there came to be foure distinctions of Levits:

- 1. Aaron, as chiefe.
- 2. Cohath.
- 3. Gershon.
- 4. Merari.

n

The Commonwealth of Ifrael was at the beginning in the desert a Camp. In the midst whereof the Arke and Tabernacle were pitched: and according to the four Coasts whereof, they guartered themselves, on every side three Tribes.

	Eaft ?	(Indah.IRachar Zabulon.	Num.
On	South	Reuben, Simeon, Gad.	2. V - 2'
the s	West Stide:	Ephraim. Manasses. Ben-	10.
		Jamin.	18.
	North	Dan. Afer. Napthali.	25.

These foure Quarters were committed to those

foure Divisions of Levits:

	Eaft)	Aaron, and his fa-	Num. 2
		quarter,	mily.	verf. 28
The	South		The Cohathites.	29.
	West		The Gershonits.	23.
	North	:	The Merarits.	35.

Who lodged among them, and took charge of them, as of their leverall Wards.

But there was not a parity in these foure: for

Aarons family, which bare the Ark it felfe, was chiefe.

Cobaths, which bare the Tabernacle and

veffels, next.

Gershons, which bare the veile and hangings of the Court, third.

Meraries, which bare the Pillars and

Posts, last.

Neither were all the Levits of each of thefe feverall houses equall, but God ordeined a superiorityamong them:

		Eleazar.)
Over	Cohathits,	Elizaphan.	Num.3. v.30.
the	Gershenits,	Eliajaph.	24.
	Merarits,	Zuriel.	35.
			Whom

Whom he termeth Nestim, that is, Prelats or Sa-

No more did he permit these foure to be equals

among themselves: but

0.

3.

٠.

e

Eliasaph, with his Gershonits. Ithamar (Exod. 38.21.) (Num.4.28.) to command over Zuriel, with his Merarits. (Num.4.33.) appointed & His own Fa-Elea Zar (Num. 4. 16.) mily. to have jurisdictio over 3 Elizaphan. with his Cohashites.

Yea he maketh not Eleazar and Ithamar to be abfolute equals: but giveth Eleazar preeminence over Ithamar; and therefore termeth him Nasi Nesiim, Princeps Principum or Pralatus Pralatorum. (Num. 3.32.)

And all these under Aaron the High Priest.

So that,

I. Aaron was the High Prieft.

Under him Eleazar: who, as hee had his
peculiar charge to look unto, fo was he
generally to rule both Ithamars jurisdiction and his owne.

2. Under him Ithamar, over two families.

4. Under him the three Prelats.

5. Under each of them, their severall chiefe

B 3

Fathers

6. Under these, the severall persons of their

kindreds.

This is here worth the noting, that albeit it bee granted that Aaron was the type of Christ, and so we for beare to take any argument from him: yet Eleazar (who was no type, nor ever so deemed by any writer) will serve sufficiently to shew such superiority as is pleaded for; that is, a personall jurisdiction in one man resiant over the heads or rulers of diverse charges.

The forme of government under Joshua.

The Common-wealth being changed from the ambulatory form into a setled estate in the Cities of Canaan: as before, the Levits were divided according to the severall Quarters of the Camp; so now were they sorted into the severall territories of the Tribes. So God commanded; Num. 35.2, 8.

The lot fell so, that the source partitions of the XII.

Tribes were not the same, as when they camped before together; but after another sort. For the Tribes

ot

1. Iuda, Simeon and Benjamin made the first Quarter.

2. Ephraim

Ephraim, Dan, and halfe of Manases the second.

Machar, Afher, Napthali, and the other balfe of Manafes the third.

Zebulun, Reuben, and Gad the fourth. Now in thele foure:

1. The charge or overfight of the first was committed to Aaron and his family: and they had therein affigned to them XIII. Cities. in Indab and Simeon, IX. and in Benjamin, IV. (10/.21. 9,10, &c.)

2. Of the fecond, the care was committed to the family of the Cohathits: and they had affigned to them X. Cities. in Ephraim, IV. in Dan, IV. and in the halfe of Manafes, II. (101.21.

20.)

Ł

3. The third was committed to the family of Gerfhon: and they had therein affigned to them XIII. Cities. in Iffachar, IV. in Afher, IV. in Naphtali, Ill. in the other halfe of Manaffes, II. (lof.21.27.)

4. The overfight of the fourth partition was committed to the Merarits; and they had therein affigned to them XII. Cities. in Zebulun, IV. in Reuben, IV. in Gad, IV. (Iof. 21.34.)

These were in all, XLVIII. Cities: whereof the chiefe (as may appeare) were Cities fet on Hills; and all so situate, in such proportion and distance, as that they most equally parted their Tribe among them, to performe unto them their duties of attendance and instruction.

Further, there were in Ioshuahs time added, by the decree of the Princes, the Nethinims of the people of Gibeon; for the lowest ministeries, and for the service of the Levits. (Ios. 9.27.)

So that now the order was thus:

- I. Eleazar.
- 2. Phineas.
- 3. Abifua.

4. The three Nesims.

- 5. The Rase Aboth, [or, Heads of the Fami-
- 6. The Levits.

7. The Nethinims.

If this power and superiority was necessary, when all the People and Priests were within one Trench, even within the view of Aarons eye: much more in Canaan, when they were scattered abroad in divers Cities farre distant, was the retaining of it more then necessary.

The forme of Government under DAVID.

A Libeit in Sauls government small regard was had to the Church: yet David found at his comming a superiority amongs them.

For besides the Priests, hee found six Princes or Rulers over six families of the Levits. (1, Chron. 15.

5,6,&c.)

Priel

Vriel
Asajah
Ioel
Shemajah
Eliel
Amminadab

Cohath.
Merari.
Gershon.
Elizaphan.
Hebron.
Vzziel.

Likewise between the two Priests an inequality: one Abiathar, attending the Ask at Ierusalem, the higher function; the other, Zadok, the Tabernacle at Gibeon. (2. Sam. 20.25. I. Chron. 16.37,39.)

But after the Ark was brought back; he fet a most exquisite order among the Levits: and that by Samuels direction; 1. Chron. 9.22. So that he is there reckoned as a new Founder.

Of them he made fix orders: 1. Chron.23.

- 1. Priests, TITO 2. Ministers of Priests \$24000. vers.4.
- 3. Indges, שפטים €6000. verf.4.
- 5. Singers, מהללום 4000. לים verfe.5.
- I. Of Priests, Zadok was the chiefe of the family of Eleazar; and Ahimelech the second, of the family of Ithamar. (1. Chron-24.3.)

Under these were XXIIII. other Courses.

Of the posteritie of Eleazar, XVI. 21. Chr. 24.4.

Which XXIIII. are called (in the 5. verie)
Rulers

Rulers of the Sanctuary and Rulers of the House of God: and to whom the learned Interpreters thinke the XXIIII. Elders, Apocal. 4.4. have relation.

*IX. Of Levits that ministred to the Priests in their function, likewise XXIIII. Courses; out of the *VIII. families, the Heads of whom are set downe in 1. Chron. 23.6. and 24.20.

Over all which, Jehdeiah was chiefe.

III. Of Indges, that fate for causes aswell of God as the King, there were appointed:

1. On this fide lordan, upwards toward the River; Ashhabiah the Hebronite. (1. Chr.

26.30.)

2. On this fide Iordan, downwards towards the Sea; (henaniah the Isharite. (1. Chr. 26,29.)

3. Beyond Iordan, over the two Tribes and the halfe; Ierijah the chiefe of the Hebronites. (1. Chron. 26.31.)

IIII. Of officers.

Scribes. Seraiah.(2.Sam.8.17.)
Scribes. Seraiah.(2.Sam.8.17.)
Shevah.(2.Sam.20.25.)
Levits.(1.Chron.24.6.)
Scribes Temple.(2.King.22.3.Ier.36.10)
of the People.(Mat.2.4.)
King. (2.King. 12.10.)

V. Of the Singers likewise he set XXIV courses:
over which he placed three chiefe, out of the three
fami-

families. (1. Chron. 15.17. & 25.2,3,4.)

Out Cohath: Heman Samuels nephew. (1. Chr. 6.33)

of & Ger shon; Asaph. (1. Chron. 6.39.)

Merari; Ethan or leduthun. 1. Chron. 6.44. Ofthefe, Heman was the Chiefe. (1. Ch.25.5)

Vnder thefe were diverse others. (1. Chr. 15.18.

Keepers of the watch of the Temple: (Mat.) 27.65.Pfal.134.1.)

who were placed on each quarter of the Tabernacle. (1.Chr.

26.13,14,&c.)

On the

East side VL over whom was Shelemiah .

South IIII. (for the Tabernasle II. and II. for A(uppim) over who was obed. West IIII. over whom was Hofa. North IIII. over whom was Zechariah .

Over all these it seemeth Benajah, the son of Iehoiada the Priest, was the chiefe.

(1.Chron, 27.5.)

Cohath: Shebuel Revenues of of Moles offpring.

Treasu- the house of rers: for God(I.Ch. Gershon; Ichiel. the 26.20.) for Merari; Ahiah.

> Things dedicated by vow; Shelomith. I. Chron. 26.26.)

Porters . who were divided intothe

VI.

Over all the Porters was Chenaniah. (1. Chr. 26.29.& 15.22,27.)

It is to be remembred that, befide Zadok the High Priest and Ahimelech (the second) we finde mention of Hashabiah the son of Kemnet chiefe of the whole Tribe of Levi. (1. Chron. 27.17.) So that there was

. One over the Ark; Zadok.
The second over the Tabernacle; Ahimelech.
The third over the Tribe; Hashabiah.

As over the

Levits Ministers;
Indges;
Officers;
Singers;
Porters;
Lehdeiah.
Chafabiah.
Shemaiah.
Chenaniah, or
Benaiah.

Agreeable to this forme we read that under losias there were three: that is, Hilkiah, Zachariah, and le-hiel: (2. Chron. 35.8.) and that the Levits had fix o.

ver them. (2. Chron. 35.9.)

Againe under Zedekiah; that there were carried into Captivity Seraiah [the chief Prieft] and Zepha-

niah [the fecond Priest.] 2. King. 25.18.

Likewise under Ezekiah, at the provision for the Levits portions, there were ten of the Levits; over whom was Cononiah and Shimei: and so Kore over the voluntary offrings; and six Levits under him.
(2. Chron. 31.12, 13.&C.)

T

T

The forme of government under NEHEMIAH:

OF whom and Esdras it is recorded, that they did all according to Moses institution. (Ezr. 6.18.

Nehem. 10.34,36.)

n

e

CEliashib.

Nehem.3.1.

There was then Seraiah.

11.11.

Zabdiel.

11.14.

The Cour fes were then but XXII. (Neh.12.12.)

(Vzzi. (Neh. 11.22.)

There was then Zezrahiah. (Neh.12.42.) Shallum. (1. Chron.9.17.)

Under Zabdiel, SAdaiah. 7 Nehem. 11.

at his hand Amafai. Sver. 12,13.

Vnder VZZi Schemaiah. Neh.11.15,16.

(Mattaniah.)

Vnder Iezrahiah Bakbukiah. Neh. 11.17.

Vnder SAkkub. ZI. Chron. 9.17. Shallum Talmon. Nehem. 11.19.

So that there was

I. The High Prieft.

2. The fecond & third, Overfeers of the Priefts.

3. The Princes of the Priefts.

4. The Priefts.

5. The overfeer of the Levits.

C 3

6 The

20 The forme of Government

- 6. The Princes of the Levits.
- 7. The Levits.
- 8. The Heads of the Nethinims.
- 9. The Nethi- 5the Gibeonits.
 nims: of Salomons servants.

[A briefe Recapitulation of the degrees observed under the government of the Old Testament; with an accommodation thereof unto the New.]

Out of these we gather this Forme to have been.

Moses:[in whom was] the supreme jurisdicti-

on, to visit Aaron. (Num. 3.10.)

II. Aaron: the High Priest. (Levit. 21.20. Num. 35.28. Nehem. 3.1.)

Head.(2. Chron. 19.11.)
Prince of the house of God.(1. Chron. 9.11)

III. Eleazar: the second. (2. King. 25.18.)

Prelate of Prelats. (Num. 3.22.)

Chiefe Overseer, or Bishop (Ier. 20.1.)

At his hand, Ithamar.

IV. Prince of the Tribe. (1. Chron. 27.17.)

V. Elizaphan. Eliasaph. Zuriel. Prelats. (Num. 3.24,&c.)

Overfeers or Bishops. (Neh. 11.14,22.)

VI. [In] the XXIV. Courfes fet by David; The Princes of the Priefts. (Ezr. 8.29.)

of

12

X

of God. of the Sanduary . \$1. Chr. 24.5. Elders of the Priests. (lerem. 19.1.

2. King. 19.1.)

Heads of the Families. האשר אבות (Nehem. 12.12.)

Chiefe Priefts. (Act. 19.14.)

ra VII. The Priests themselves:

Whether at Ierusalem; or in the Countrey townes. (2. Chron. 31.19.)

VIII. The Overfeer of the Levits. (Nehem. 11.22.)

IX. The Princes of the Levits. (1. Chron. 15.5.

2. Chron. 35.9. Nehem. 12.22.)

The Head of the Levits Officers. The Scribe. n. X. The Singers. (1. Chro. 16.5. Neh. 12.42.) The Porters. (1. Chron. 9.17. & 15.22.) The Treasurers. (1. Chron. 26.24, 2. Chr. 31.12.)

TXI. The Levits themselves. 7

ìi-

n.

1)

of

XII. The Chief of the Nethinims. (Nehem. 11.21.) (the Gibeonits. (lof. 9.21.)

XIII. The Nethi- \Salomons fervants. (1. King. 9.

nims: of (21.Nehem. 7.60.)

It is not only requifice that things be done, and that they be diligently done (against floth;) but that they be done continually, and constantly.

To this end it is, that God appoints Overfeers:

Tourge others, if they be flack. (2. Chron. 24.5.8 34.13.)

2 To keep them in courfe, if they be well. (2. Chr.

29.5. and 31.12. and 34.12,13.)

To punifb, if any be defective. (Ierem. 29.26.) For which.

A power of Commanding was in the High Prieft.

(1. Chron. 23.8, 18. and 24.6. and 31.13.)

A power Indiciall, if they transgressed : (Deut:17. 9.Zach:3.7. Ezech: 44.24.

Under paine of death. (Deut:17.12.)

Punishment in Prison, and in the Stocks: (Ier. 29.26.) inthe Gate of Benjamin. (Ier:20.2.)

Officers to Cite and Arrest: (loh.7.32. Act:5.18.) This Corporall.

To suspend from the Function: (Ezr: 2.62.) To excommunicate. (Ezr: 10.8.loh.9.22.and 12.) 42, and 16.2.)

[This Spirituall.]

Why may not the like be, [for the government

of the Church: 7

There is alleaged one only stop. That the High Priest was a figure of Christ: who being now come in the flesh, the figure ceaseth, & no argument thence to be drawne.

[For Answer whereunto, we are to confider: that] This is the Anabaptists only shift. That we are to have no Warres: for the warres of the lewes were but figures of our firituall Battell. No Magistrate: for their Magistrates were but figures of our Ministers, Paftors, and Doctors. and, all by Christs comming abolished.

II. Christ

II. Christ, being as well King as Priest, was as well fore-resembled by the Kings then, as by the High Priest. So that if his comming take away the one Type, it must also the other. If it be said, there was in the King somewhat else beside the representation: the like is and may bee truely said of the High Priest. And that some such thing there was, it is plaine by S. Paul, who yeelded his obedience to the High Priest; appearing before him, and acknowledging him a Governour of the People (Act. 23.5.) & that, after the Type was expired. Which had been meerely unlawfull; if there had not remained in him somewhat besides the Figure.

III. There is no necessity we should presse Aaron. For EleaZar being Princeps Principum, that is, having a superiour authoritie over the Superiours of the Levits [in Aarons life time] was never by any [in this point] reputed a Type of Christ. So that though Aaron be accounted such; yet Eleazar will serve our purpose. As also, 2. Chron. 35. 8. we read of three at once: one only of which was the High Priest, and a Type of Christ; the rest were not. Let them answer then to the other twaine, who were Rulers or Chiefe

over the House of God.

5.)

est.

17.

er:

2.) 8.)

2.)

ent

igh

me

nce

at]

ere

te:

ni-

ın-

rift

Why it may bee.

I. Out of Dic Ecclesia, [the New Reformers]:ell us, we are to fetch our pattern from the Iewes: and therefore it seemes they are of opinion, that one forme may serve both wand them.

) ,

II. Except

II. Except there should be fush a fashion of Government, consisting of inequality: I see not in the New Testament, how any could perish in that contradiction of Core, which S. Iude affirmeth. For his plea was for equalitie; and against the preferring of Aaron about the rest.

III. The Ancient Fathers feem to be of minde,

that the fame Forme should serve both.

Sothinketh S. Cyprian, 1.3.ep. 9.ad Rogatianum.

So S. Hierom, ep. 85. ad Evagrium. Traditiones Apostolica sumpta sunt de Veteri Testamento.&

ad Nepotianum de vità Clericorum.

So S. Leo. Ita veteris Testamenti sacramenta diflinxit; ut quadam ex iis, sicut erant condita, Evangelica eruditioni profutura decerperet: ut qua dudum suerant consuetudines Iudaica, sierent observantia Christiana.

So Rabanus, de Institutione Clericorum, l.1.c.6. They ground this their opinion upon that they see,

dow, and the Church the very image of the thing.

(Heb. 10.1.)

2. That God himselfe saith of the Christian Church under the Gentiles; that he will take of the Gentiles, and make them Priests and Levits to himselfe. (Esai. 66.21.) there calling our Presbyters and Deacons by those Legall names.

3. That

SXII. Num.1.16.and Luk.9.1.

is an agreement, in Names: Angel, Malach, 2, 7, and the Revel, 1, 10.

And their often enterchange and indifferent using of Priest or Presbyter, Levite or Deacon, sheweth they presumed a correspence & agreement between them.

[Thus then]

Aaron

Eleazar

Princes of Priests

Princes of Levits
Levits

Nethinims

Christ.

Archbishop.

Archbishop.

Archbishop.

Archbishop.

Archbishop.

Archbishop.

Archbishop.

Archdeacons.

Deacons.

Clerks and Sextons.

क्रांग्रेक क्रांग्रेक क्रांग्रेक क्रांग्रेक क्रांग्रेक क्रांग्रेक क्रांग्रेक क्रांग्रेक क्रांग्रेक

THE

in the New Testament: and first in the dayes of our Saviour CHRIST.

1.

He whole ministery of the New Testa.

ment was at the first invested in

Christalone.

D 2

He is termed our

Apoftle. (Hebr. 3.1.) Frophet. (Deut. 18.15. Ad. 3.

22.)

Evangelist. (Esai. 41.27.) Bishop. (1.Pet.2.25.) Doctor. (Mat. 23. 10.) Diaconus. (Rom. 15.8.)

II. When the Harvest was great, (Matth. 9.38.) that his personall presence could not attend all; he took unto him XII. Apostles: as the XII. Patriarchs, or XII. * Fountaines (as S. lerom) or the XII. Princes of the Tribes. (Num. 1.)

* Exod. 15. Num. 33.9.

Gathering his Disciples, (Matth. 10. 1.) Choosing out of them (Luk. 6.13.) Whom he would: (Mark.3.13.)

Called them to him, (Luk.6.13.) Madethem, (Mark.3.13.)

Named them Apostles. (Luk.6.13.)

These he began to send: (Mark. 6.7.)

Gave them in charge, (Mat. 10.1. and 11.1.) Topreachthe Gospell. (Luk.9.2.)

To Heal. (Matth. 10.1. Luk. 9.2.)

To cast out Devills. (Matth. 10.1.)

Gave them power, (Mat. 10.1. Luk. 9.2.) Totake maintenance, (Matth.10.10.)

To shake of the dust for a witnesse. (Matth.10.14.)

So he fent them. (Matth. 10.5, Luk.9. 1.) They went and preached. (Luk.9.6.)

They returned, and made relation

what

what they had \done. \mark.6.30.

as that the XII. sufficed not all; (Luk. 10.1,2.) hee took unto him other LXX. (as the 70. Palme-trees, Num. 33.9. the Fathers of Families, Gen. 46. the Elders. Num. 11)

Thefe he

S

Declared: (Luk.10.1.)

Sent by two and two into every City and place, whither he himselfe would come. 16.

Gave them power, as to the Apostles, to

Take maintenance. (Lnk.10.7.) Shake off the dust. (Lnk.10.11.)

Healethe fick. \Luk.10.9.

Tread upon Serpents and Scorpions, and over all the power of the Ene-

my. (Luk.10.19)

These two Orders (as me thinketh) S. Paul, Ephes. 3.5. doth comprehend under the name of Apostles and Prophets; by the LXX. understanding Prophets: as usually next to the Apostles he placeth Prophets ever. (1. Cor. 12.28. Ephes. 4.11.)

None of the Fathers ever doubted, that these two were two severall Orders or Sorts anor that the Apo-

fles were superiour to the LXX.

It appeareth also, that [the Apostles] had in them power to forbid to preach: (Luk. 9.49.) and that Matthias was exalted from the other Order to the Apostleship.

D 3

This

He is termed our

Apostle. (Hebr.3.1.)

Frophet. (Deut. 18. 15. Act. 3.

, 2

Ewangelist. (Esai. 41.27.)

Bishop. (1.Pet.2.25.)

Doctor. (Mat.23.10.)

Diaconus. (Rom.15.8.)

II. When the Harvest was great, (Matth. 9.38.) that his personal presence could not attend all; he took unto him XII. Apostles: as the XII. Patriarchs, or XII.* Fountaines (as S. Jerom) or the XII. Princes of the Tribes. (Num. 1.)

* Exod.15. 27. Num.33.9.

Gathering his Disciples, (Matth. 10.1.)

Choosing out of them (Luk.6.13.)
Whom he would: (Mark.3.13.)

Whom he would; (Mark.3.13.)
Called them to him, (Luk.6.13.)

Madethem, (Mark.3.13.)

Named them Apostles. (Luk.6.13.)

These he began to send: (Mark. 6.7.)

Gave them in charge, (Mat. 10.1. and 11.1.)

To preach the Gospell. (Luk.9.2.)

To Heal. (Matth. 10.1. Luk. 9.2.)

To cast out Devills. (Matth. 10.1.)

Gave them power, (Mat. 10.1. Luk. 9.2.)
To take maintenance, (Matth. 10.10.)

To flake of the dust for a witnesse. (Matth. 10.14.)

So he fent them. (Matth.10.5. Luk.9.1.)

They went and preached. (Luk. 9.6.)
They returned, and made relation

what

what they had \done. \mark.6.30.

as that the XII. sufficed not all; (Luk. 10.1,2.) hee took unto him other LXX. (as the 70. Palme-trees, Num. 33.9. the Fathers of Families, Gen. 46. the Elders. Num. 11)

Thefe he

he

bs.

es

Declared: (Luk.10.1.)

Sent by two and two into every City and place, whither he himselfe would come. 16. Gave them power, as to the Apostles, to

Take maintenance. (Luk.10.7.) Shake off the dust. (Luk.10.11.)

Healethe fick. \\ Luk.10.9.

Tread upon Serpents and Scorpions, and over all the power of the Enemy. (Luk. 10.19)

These two Orders (as me thinketh) S. Paul, Ephes. 3.5. doth comprehend under the name of Apostles and Prophets; by the LXX. understanding Prophets: as usually next to the Apostles he placeth Prophets ever. (1. Cor. 12.28. Ephes. 4.11.)

None of the Fathers ever doubted, that these two were two severall Orders or Sorts anor that the Apofiles were superiour to the LXX.

It appeareth also, that [the Apostles] had in them power to forbid to preach: (Luk. 9.49.) and that Matthias was exalted from the other Order to the Apostleship.

D 3

This

This was then the Order, while Christ was upon the Earth.

Christ himselfe.

II. The XII. (whose successions were Bilhops,) III. The LXX. (whose successiours were Priests.) IV. The faithfull people or Disciples: of whom

500. and more are mentioned, in 1. Corinth. 15. 6. and CXX. in Att. 1.15.

[The forme of government, used in the time of the APOSTLES.]

Albeit Christ laith, the people were as Sheep without a Shepheard: (Matth. 9. 38.) yet he tearmeth his Apostles Haruest.men, not Shepheards. For while he was in person on Earth; himselfe only was the Shep. heard, and they but Arietes gregis. But at his departure he maketh them Shepheards: (Iohn 21. 15.) as they likewise at theirs. (1. Pet. 5.2. A.ft. 20.28)

of the APOSTLES themselves: and

first of their Name.

Shelicha, which is the Syrian name, was the title of certaine Legats or Commissioners sent from the High Priest, to visit the Iewes and their Synagogues which were dispersed in other Countries; with authority to redreffe things amisse.

A'm'solos among the Greekes, were officers of great credit: as by Herodotus and Demosthenes ap-

peareth.

Secondly, of their Forme; what it is.

Not to have been with Christ all his time. (AEts

1.21.

1.21.) So were others moe.

was

15.)

ts.)

om

. 6.

e

th-

his he

ep-

ar-

nd

tle

he

u-

of

p-

Ets

I.

Not to be sent immediately of Christ. (Gal.1.1.) So were the LXX. (Luk. 10.)

Not to be limited to no one place. (Matth. 28. 19.) So were others (Luk. 24. 33,50.)
And S. Iames went no whither.

Name beingried of Code foot

Not to be inspired of God; so that they did not erre. So were Marke and Luke.

Not to plant Churches. So did Philip the Evangelist. (Act. 8. 5.)

Not to work fignes and miracles. So did Stephen (Acts 6.8.) and Philip. (Acts 8.6.)

But over and above these, and with these, that eminent Authority or Iurisdiction which they had over all; not only joyntly together, but every one by himselfe:

1. Of imposing hands in Consirmation. (AEts 6.6.)
Consirmatio. (AET 8. 17, 18.)

II. Of Commanding. (the word of the Bench. Acts 4. 18. and 5. 28.) 1. The f. 4. 11. 2. The ff. 3. 6, 12. Philem. 8. Colof. 4. 10. 1. Cor. 14. 37. 2. Peter 3.2. Titus 1.5. 1. Cor. 7. 6, 17. and 11. 34. & 16. 1.

IH. Of Countermanding. (Luke 9. 49. Acts 15. 24.

IV. Of Censuring. (1. Cor. 4.21.2. Cor. 13.10. Gal. 5.
12.1. Tim. 1.20.1. Cor. 5.5, 11.2. The f. 3.14.
Matth. 16.19. with 18.18. and John 20.23.)

In this power it is, that the Bishops succeed the Apofiles. Irena. lib.3. cap.3. Tertull. de Prascript. Cyprian. ad Florent. 3.9. Epiphan. Haref. 27. (Roma sucrunt fuerunt primi Petrus & Paulus, Apostoli ijdem ac Episcopi.) Chrysost. in Act. 3. (Iacobus Episcopus Hierosolymitanus.) Hieronym. epist. 85. & 54. ad Marcellam: & de scriptorih. Ecclesiast. in Petro & Iacobo. Ambros: in 1. Corinth: 11. (de Angelus.) & in Ephes. 4. (Apostoli. Angeli sunt.)

OF DEACONS.

AT the beginning, the whole weight of the Churches affayres lay upon the Apostles.

The distribution (Act. 2.) as of the oblations. (Act. 4.

The Ordination. (Ads 6.6.) The Government. (Ads 5.3.)

[But] upon occasion of the Greeks complaint, whose widowes were not duly regarded in the dayly ministration (which was as well of the Sacrament, as of the Oblations: otherwise the Apostles would not have left out [the mention of] the Sacrament, in Atts 6.4.) they transferred that part upon the VII. [Deacons.] whom they had ordayned for distribution of the Sacrament, not for Consecration. Att. 6. 1.Tim. 2.12, 13.

Iustin. Apolog. 2. Ignatius ad Heronem. Tertull: de Baptismo. Cyprian de lapsis: & lib. 3. epist. 9. Chrysostom. hom. 83. in Matth. Hieron. ep: 48. ad Sabinianum: & contra Lucifer. Ambros. Offic. lib. 1. cap. 41. Gregor. 4. 88. Concil. Nican. 1. Can. 14.

OF EVANGELISTS.

They grew upon occasion of the scattering of the Disciples by means of the persecution after the death of S. Stephen. (Atts 11. 19.) Of which number S. Philip is reckoned: (Atts 21. 8.) and diverse others. (Atts 11. 19.) of whom Eusebius maketh mention, lib. 3. cap. 37. and lib. 5. cap. 10.

Upon these was transferred that part of the Apofiles function, which consisted in preaching from place to place.

OF PRIESTS.

WHen the Churches were in some fort planted by the preaching of the Apostles, Prophets, and Evangelists: that they might be continually watered, and have a standing attendance; the Apostles ordained Priests by imposition of hands in every Church (Asts 14.23. and 11.30. and 21.18.)

And they made choyce of the word appendus, rather then of the word pipar (more in use with the Greeks:) because it include than Embassie, and that chiefly of Reconciliation. which is the appendus, expressed by S. Paul, in 2. Corinth. 5. 20. (with Luke 14.32.)

OF BISHOPS.

Last of all, that the Churches thus planted and watered, might so continue, the Apostles ordained Overseers, to have a generall care over the Churches, in stead of themselves who first had the same. which is called indicates, Acts 15.36. and containeth in it, as a strengthning or establishing that which is already well; (Acts 14.22. and 15.41. Revel: 3.2.) so a rectifying or rearessing, if ought be desective or amisse. (Tit: 1.5.)

Thefe are called, Acts 20.28. אפיםקופא in the Syrian, that is, Epifcopi. by S. Lohn, Revel. 1. 20. the

Angells of the Churches.

[These were set over others, both to rule and teach.] 1. Tim: 5.17. 1. Pet: 5.2.

Upon these was transferred the chiefe part of the Apostolick function:

The overfight of the Church.

Power of

Commanding, Correcting, Ordaining.

The occasion which caused the Apostles to appoint Bishops, [besides the patterne in the time of the Law,] seemeth to have been schismes. Such as were in the Churches of

Rome. Rom. 16.17.

Corinth. 1. Cor. 1.11. [and 3.3,4.]

Galatia.

13. 11. 2. 11.

10. 46.

14. 11.

8. 13. 5.11,13.

Galatia. Gal.5.12. Ephefus. Ephef.4.2,3. Philippi. Phil.4.2. Colosi. Coloss. 3. 13. The (salonica. 2. Theff. 3.11. The Hebrews. Hebr. 13.9. Iam. 3.1.

For which S. Cyprian, S. Hierome and all the Fathers, take the respect to one Governour to be an efpeciall remedy. [for which also fee] Calvin. Instit.

lib. 4. cap. 4.9.2.

This power even in the Apostles time was neces- Ad. 5.5,15. fary. For God chargeth not his Church with fuperfluous burdens. Yet had they fuch graces (as power of healing, doing signes, fundry languages, &c.) that they of all other might feem best able to want it. For by these graces they purchased both admiration and terrour fufficient for crediting their bare word in the whole Church.

If necessary then in their times, that were so furniflied: much more in the ages enfuing, when all those graces ceased, and no meanes but it to keep things in order. So that, were it not apparant to have been in the Apostles: yet the necessity of the times follow-

ing, destitute of these helps, might enforce it.

Seeing then God hath no leffe care for the propaga. tion and continuance of his Church, then for the first fetling or planting of it: (Eph.4.13.) it must needs follow, that this power was not personall in the Apofiles, as tyed to them only, but a power given to the Church, and in them for their times resident (but not

E 2

ending

ending with them, as temporary) but common to the ages after and continuing (to whom it was more needfull then to them) to represse schifme and to remedy other abuses.

So that the very same power at this day remaineth in the Church; and shall to the Worlds end.

Of the PERSONS, [that executed these Offices.]

I. A Lbeit the Commission were generall over all Nations, which was given to the XII. yet was that generality only by permission, not expresse mandatory. Else should they have sinned that went not through all Nations.

Therefore howsoever the Commission was to all Nations: yet was it left to their discretion, how and in what fort they would dispose themselves, as the

Holy Ghoft should direct them.

So that the partition, Gal. 2. 9. betwixt S. Peter and S. Paul, was lawfull and good, and no wayes derogatory to Ite, pradicate. [Goe, teach all nations.]

Further, the Ecclesiastical History doth testify, that they parted the Coasts and Countries of the world among them by common advise; and so severed themselves:

Peter, to Pontus, Galatia, Cappadocia.

Iohn, to Asia, Parthia.

Andrew, to Scythia, [Fontus] Euxinus and ByZantium.

Philip,

Philip, to upper Asia, and to Hierapolis.

Thomas, to India, Persia and the Magi.

Bartholmew, to Armenia, Lycaonia, India si-

terior.

Matthew, to Æthiopia.

Simeon, to Mesopotamia, Persia, Egypt, A. frique, Britany.

Thaddeus, to Arabia, Idumaa, Mesopotamia.

Matthias , to Ethiopia.

II. Againe, albeit their preaching was for the most ambulatory: yet doe the same Histories witnesse, that having setled Religion, and brought the Church to some stay; toward their end they betook themselves to residence in some one place, diverse of them. as,

S. Iames at Ierufalem. (Euseb. lib. 2. cap. 1. Epi-

phan. hær. 66. Hierome.)

S. Iohn at Ephefus. (Eufeb.lib. 3. cap. 26. Tertullian.lib. 4. contra Marcion. Hierome.)

S. Peter , first at Antioch; and after at Rome.

Which places were more especially accompted their Sees: and the Churches themselves, after a more especiall manner, were called Apostolick.

Sedes Apostolorum. Augustin.epist. 42.

Ecclefia Apostolica. Tertullian.

III. Thirdly, it is also plaine, that the Apostles chose unto them as Helpers (autopayes) divers, who were companions with them in their journies, ministred unto them, & supplyed their absence in diverse Churches, when they themselves were occasioned to depart.

E 3

Such were:

Apollos. (Act. 19. 1. I. Lucas. (Philem. 24. Col. Cor.3.6.) 4.14.) Secundus. (Act. 20.4.) Aquila. (Rom. 16.3.) Silvanus. (1. Pet. 5. 12. Archippus. (Philem. 2. 1. Theff. 1. 1. 2. Theff. Colol.4. 17.) Ariftarchus. (Act. 20.4.) 1.1.) Clemens. (Phil.4.3.) Sopater. (Act.20.4.) Crescens. (2.Tim.4.10.) Softhenes. (I.Cor.I.I.) Demetrius. (3.Iohn. 12.) Stephanas. (1: Cor. 16. Epaphras. (Colos. 4.12. 15.) & 1.7.& Philem.24.) Timotheus. (Act. 19. 22. Epaphroditus. (Ph.2.23.) & 20.4.) Epanetus. (Rom. 16.5.) Titus.(2. Cor. 8.23.) Trophimus. (Act. 20.4.) Eraftus. (Act. 19.22.) Tychicus . (Act. 20. 4.) Gains, (Act. 20.4.) Vrbanus. (Rom. 16. 9.) Icfus luftus .(Col.4. 11.) 10hn Mark (Act. 13.5.& 15.37.& Philem.24.)

Of whom, Eusebius, lib. 3. Hist. cap. 4. Euthymius, in tertium Iohannis, Isidorus, de Patrib.

and Dorothei Synopsis.

To two of these, Timothy and Titus, the one at Ephesus the other at Crete, (Euseb.lib.3. cap. 4.) the Apostles imparted their owne Commission, while they yet lived, even the chiefe authority they had.

To appoint Priests, (Tit. 1.5. & Hieron, in eum locu. To ordaine them by imposition of hands. (1. Tim. 5.22.2. Tim. 2.2.)

To keep fate and preferve the Depositum. (1.Tim. 6.14,

6.14,20. 2. Tim.1.14.)

To command not to teach other things. (1. Tim. 1.3.

Tit.3.9.2.Tim.2.16.)

To receive Accufations. (1. Tim. 5. 19,21.)

To redresse or correct things amisse. (Tit.1.5.)

To reject young Widowes. (1.Tim.5.11.)

[To censure Hereticks and difordered persons,

And these, after the Apostles deceased, succeeded them in their charge of Government, which was ordinary successive and perpetuall: their extraordinary guists of miracles and tongues ceasing with them. [So] trenaus, lib.3. cap. 3. Quos & successores relinquebant; suum ipsorum locum Magisterii tradentes.

[Of the promiscuous use of their NAMES.]

These were they whom posterity called Bishops. But in the beginning, regard was not had to distinction of Names. The authority and power was ever distinct: the name not restrained, either in This, or Other.

The Apostles were called

Priefts or Sentors. (1. Pet.5.1.)

Deacons or Ministers. (1. Cor. 3.5.)

Teachers or Doctors. (1. Tim.2. 7.)

Bishops or Overseers. (Acts 1.20.)

Prophets. (Acts 13.1. Revel. 22.9.)

Evangelists. (1, Cor.9.16.)

The name of Apostle was enlarged, and made common to more then the XII.

To Barnabas. (Act. 14.4,14.)

Andronicus. (Rom. 16.7.) Epaphroditus. (Phil. 2. 25.)

Titus and others. (2. Cor. 8. 23.)

Timothy (Hieron. in Cant. Chr. Euseb.)

The Priests were called

Prophets. (1. Cor. 14.32.)
Bishops. (Philip. 1. 1. Tit. 1.7.)

So Chrysostom, in Philip.1. [Quid hoc?an unius civitatis multi erant episcopi? Nequaquam: fed Presbyteros isto nomine appellavit. Tuns enim nomina adducerant communia.]

Hierome: Hicepiscopos Presbyteros intelligimus; non enim in una urbe plures Episcopi esse potu-

issens.

Theodoret: Non fieri quidem poterat, ut multi Episcopi essent unius civitatis pastores; quo fit, ut essent soli Presbyteri, quos vocavit Episcopos.

& in 1. Tim. 3. Eosdem olim vocabant Episcopos & Presbyteros: eos autem qui nunc vocantur

Episcopi, nominabant Apostolos.

Occumenius: Non quod in una civitate multi ef-

fent Epifcopi, &c.

For in the Apostles absence in Churchesnew planted, the oversight was in them; till the Apostles ordained and sent them a Eistop, either by reason of some schisme or for other causes.

The Bishops (as the Ecclesiastical History recounteth

teth them) were called

Apostles. (Philip. 2.25.) Evangelists. (2. Tim. 4.5.) Diaconi. (1. Tim. 4.6.) Priests. (1. Tim. 5.17.)

[For it is plaine by the epiftle of Ireneus to Victor, in] Eusebius, lib. 5. cap. 26. that they at the beginning were called Priests, that in very truth and propriety of speech were Bishops. And by Theodores [in 1.Tim.3.] that they which were Bishops, were at the first called Apostles.

The name Eπίσχοποι, saith Suidas, was given [by the Athenians to them which were sent to oversee the Cities that were under their jurisdiction. Oi παρ 'Αθηγαίου είς ταις πακούς πίλοις δη σχέψα δαι τα πας 'ενόςοις πεμπούουρος,

chionomos zi ounaxes erengyro. Suid. in Emonomos.

The name Episcopus was given among the Romans to him, qui praerat pani & vanalibus ad victum quotidianum. ff. de munerib. & honorib.

Cicero, ad Atticum lib. 7. epist. 10. Vult me Pompeius esse, quem tota hac Campania & maritima ora ha-

beat Episcopum.

The name in Hebrew Gen.41.34.seemeth to have relation to the second use. for they were such as had charge of the graine laying up, and selling under loseph.

[The necessary use of the BISHOPS office, and the charge committed to bim.]

The party, who in the New Testament is called Episcopus, is in the old called 779 (Psai.109.8. with Act.1.20.)

In a house or familie, it is first affirmed of 10seph, Gen. 39. 4. who had the oversight and government

of the rest of the servants.

In a house there may be many servants, which have places of charge; but there is one that hath the charge of all; that is, Occonomus, the Steward.

So doe the Apostles terme theselves. (1.Cor.4.1.)
and their office. (1.Cor.9.17.)

and their lucceffours the Bishops . (Tit.1.7.)

Vid. Hilar.in Matth. 24.45.

In a flock, the Pastour. (Ioh. 21.15. A. 20.28. Mat. 25.32.1. Pet. 5.2. Ephes. 4.11.)

In a Camp, the Captaine. (Matth. 2.6. Hebr. 13.7, 17,24.)

In a ship, the Governour: (1.Cor.12.28.) under whom others. (Act.13.5.)

In the Common-wealth, they be such as are set over officers, to hasten them forward, and see they dee their duties as in 2. Chron. 34. 12. and 31. 13. Nehem. 11.22. and 12.42.

So that, what a Steward is in a house, a Pastour in a flock,

Vil. Hieronym.cpi/t.4. ad Rusticum (cap.6.) cr epist.85. ad Evagrium, a Captaine in a Camp. a Mafter in a fhip. a Surveiour in an office:

That is a Bishop in the Ministerie. Upon him lieth, to take care of the Churches under him. 7 2. Cor. 11.28. Philip. 2.20. 1. Pet. 5 . 2.

Concil. Antiochen. can.g. [and for that end to visit them.] Act.9.32 .and 15.36. [and to be obfervant 7

(Well and orderly; [to confirme it.] Of that which is? Act. 15. 41. Revel. 3.2. Cotherwife: [to redreffe it.] Tit. 1.5.

To him was committed;

I. Authority of orderning: (Tit.1.5.) and foof begetting Fathers. (Epiph. hæref. 75.) See Ambrofe, Theodoret and Occumentus, in 1. Timoth. 3. Damafus, epist. 3. Hierome, epist. 85. ad Evagr. Leo, epist. 88.

Concil. Ancyran.can.12.al.13.

Forthough S. Paul should mention a Companie with him at the ordeyning of Timothie: (1.Tim.4. 14.) yet it followeth not, but that he onely was the Ordeyner. No more, then that Christ is the onely Indge: although the XII. shall sit with him on Thrones.(Luc.22.30.)

Authority of enjoyning or forbidding. (1. Tim.1.3, Ignat.ad Magnefian. Cyprian.epift. 39.)

III. Authority of holding Courts, and receiving ascufations. (1. Tim. 5. 19. 1. Cor. 5.12. Revel. 2.2. Augustin.de opere monachor.cap.29.)

IV. Authority of correcting. (1. Tim. 1.3. Tit.

The forme of Government

1.5. Hieron.contra Lucifer.cap.4. & epist.53. ad Riparium. Cyprian. ep. 38. ad Rogatianum.)

V. Authority of appointing Fashs. (Tertullian advers. Psychicos.)

FINIS.

